## SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FUND

The Solid Waste Facility (SWF) is responsible for the disposal of waste in accordance with regulations and facilitates recycling.

## 2013 Highlights

- Started shipping e-waste in 2013. By September 2013, 53,250 kg had been shipped.
- The City and its recycling contractor started shipping tires out in 2013. By September $94,220 \mathrm{~kg}$ had been shipped.
- Permanent access roads have been constructed on site for the contaminated soil/water treatment area and for the new centralized compost site. All work was completed in-house using materials on site.
- The facility introduced two new wood bins and a wood salvaging area. All work was completed in-house.
- Re-introduced vehicle salvaging for the public. Vehicles are properly processed prior to salvaging.
- Eliminated the backlog of hazardous waste on site (paint, propane tanks, oil, glycol, e-waste). All products have been prepared for shipping once a full load has accumulated. This process reduces environmental risk at the facility immensely.
- Introduced a tipping fee for propane tanks.
- Developed an organized drop-off zone for appliances in the scrap steel area. Produced a level working area for the contractor to load scrap steel in a safe manner, away from traffic and the public.
- Installed fencing at the new cell to help contain trash. Developed an efficient process for stacking and covering bales in the new cell. The new process eliminated contractor costs of $\$ 180,000$.
- Eliminated contractor costs of turning the contaminated soil. New excavator is utilized for this process.
- Fencing installed around two recycling depots in October 2013 at the Co-op and the YK Arena.
- Construction waste is still being landfilled in the existing landfill, not the new cell. This drastically improves the lifespan of the new cell.
- Internal resources from other departments have assisted with site work to lower contracted costs.
- More than 200 students toured the Solid Waste Facility.
- Proposed changes to the winter hours were submitted to Council for approval. The changes will help to increase efficiency and reduce
overtime expenses.
- A new office building is being delivered to the SWF in November. The building will provide for a clean and safe work environment for all site staff. Public access to site offices will be improved.
- Existing landfill close-out work continues. Proper slopes are being obtained.
- Measuring of internal tipping fees has been implemented to help track costs, especially for capital projects.
- Quarterly surveying of the new cell has been implemented to develop accurate life expectancies of the new cell.
- Installed new site signage which conforms to City specifications.
- Received new Skid Steer with a pick-up broom/bucket. The new equipment has reduced cleanup time and improved job quality.
- Installed stairs and landings on either side of the animal waste bin. This has greatly improved access to the bin for all users.
- Centralized composting project has progressed to the next stage. Rough site work and surveying was completed this summer, along with construction of the access road.


## 2014/2015/2016 Goals

- Efficiently and effectively collect, handle, and dispose of solid waste.
- Continue to improve the City's recycling program by issuing the City Commitment for Public Education, and improving the recycling page on the City website.
- Continue to work with other municipalities and levels of government on recycling initiatives.


## 2014/2015/2016 Objectives

- Provide garbage collection once per week to residential areas by a private contractor. Multi-family units and commercial premises will be serviced by a private contractor.
- Continue to implement goals and objectives laid out in the Community Waste Management Strategic Plan adopted by Council in August 2001 and revised in 2006.
- Implement recommendations in the External Review of the Solid Waste Facility Operations and Processes, adopted for information by Council in August 2006.
- Reduce solid waste landfill volumes by both baling waste and operating feasible waste diversion programs.
- Increase public awareness, education, and participation in waste diversion through annual publications, weekly flyer inserts and public forums.
- Carry out the orderly closure of the existing landfill site.
- Increase the percentage of waste diversion through smart recycling initiatives.


## Solid Waste Management Fund Budget

## Revenue

User Charges
Solid Waste Lev
Tipping Fees
Sales of Recyclables
Allocated to Capital

## Expenditures (By Activity)

Waste Collection
Waste Processing
Waste Recycling
Site Restoration/Closure
-Annual Accrual
Actual Cost of Landfill Closure
-Reduction In Closure Liability
Amortization

## Net Revenue (Expenditures)

## Interfund Transfers

(To) From General Fund
Change in Fund Balance Before Reallocation of Amortization Reallocation of Amortization
Change in Fund Balance

## Opening Balance

Closing Balance

## Expenditures (By Object)

Wages \& Benefits
Other O\&M

| $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { (\$000's) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { Budget } \\ \text { (\$000's) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { (\$000's) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2014 Budget Recommended (\$OOO's) | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Budget } \\ \text { (\$000's) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Budget } \\ \text { (\$000's) } \end{gathered}$ | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 663 | 751 | 754 | 800 | 850 | 903 | (1) |
| 1,827 | 1,990 | 1,884 | 2,007 | 2,138 | 2,278 | (1) |
| 35 | 100 | 74 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| 2,525 | 2,841 | 2,712 | 2,907 | 3,088 | 3,281 |  |
| (260) | (260) | (260) | (230) | (230) | (230) |  |
| 2,265 | 2,581 | 2,452 | 2,677 | 2,858 | 3,051 |  |
| 295 | 337 | 301 | 342 | 352 | 364 |  |
| 1,655 | 1,281 | 1,452 | 1,293 | 1,333 | 1,376 |  |
| 395 | 472 | 436 | 487 | 501 | 515 |  |
| 444 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | 326 | 326 | 434 | 418 | 402 | (2) |
| - | (326) | (326) | (434) | (418) | (402) |  |
| 257 | 432 | 254 | 377 | 381 | 376 |  |
| 3,047 | 2,521 | 2,442 | 2,499 | 2,567 | 2,631 |  |
| (782) | 61 | 10 | 178 | 292 | 420 |  |
| (250) | (274) | (264) | (280) | (298) | (318) | (3) |
| $(1,032)$ | (213) | (254) | (103) | (7) | 102 |  |
| 257 | 432 | 254 | 377 | 381 | 376 |  |
| (775) | 219 | 0 | 274 | 374 | 478 |  |
| (902) | (998) | $(1,677)$ | $(1,677)$ | $(1,402)$ | $(1,028)$ |  |
| $(1,677)$ | (779) | $(1,677)$ | $(1,402)$ | $(1,028)$ | (550) |  |
| 878 | 862 | 900 | 950 | 978 | 1,006 |  |
| 2,169 | 1,659 | 1,542 | 1,549 | 1,589 | 1,626 |  |
| 3,047 | 2,521 | 2,442 | 2,499 | 2,567 | 2,631 |  |

Details of Other O\&M
General Services
Materials
Maintenance
Utility-Fuel
Utility- Power
Vehicle O\&M \& Fue
Amortization
Others (Landfill Closure Accrual)

| 1,133 | 855 | 909 | 819 | 840 | 863 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | 5 | 49 | 38 | 39 | 39 |
| 114 | 82 | 74 | 83 | 85 | 87 |
| 38 | 84 | 55 | 54 | 58 | 62 |
| 60 | 58 | 70 | 73 | 75 | 78 |
| 107 | 143 | 132 | 105 | 109 | 116 |
| 257 | 432 | 254 | 377 | 381 | 376 |
| 444 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2,169 | 1,659 | 1,542 | 1,549 | 1,586 | 1,620 |

Notes:
(1) Revenues are based on the assumption that the user fee rates will increase at 6\% each year from 2014 to 2016
(2) In 2000 and thereafter, under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, the City accrues the liabilitity for landfill closure and
restoration. There is an estimated difference of $\$ 750,000$ between the net present value of future landfill liabilities and the actual
costs that will be incurred. City will continue to accrue the difference over the next three years. In 2014, 2015 and 2016 the City
plans to set aside Capital Fund of $\$ 150,000, \$ 125,000$ and $\$ 125,000$ respectively for site restoration.
(3) The administration fee charged by the General Fund is $10 \%$ of revenue as per current policy


| Solid Waste Performance Measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projected } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Actual $2012$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projected } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecasted } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Forecasted } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecasted } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Notes |
| Workload Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material Incoming (Metric Tonnes): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 2,560 | 2,000 | 1,950 | 1,900 | 1,850 |  |
| Municipal |  | 4,408 | 4,000 | 3,950 | 3,900 | 3,850 |  |
| Commercial |  | 16,725 | 16,000 | 15,950 | 15,900 | 15,850 |  |
| Total Tonnes Received |  | 23,693 | 22,000 | 21,850 | 21,700 | 21,550 |  |
| Material diverted from waste stream (Metric Tonne): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appliances @ 68 kg each | 160 | 163 | 160 | 165 | 170 | 175 |  |
| Appliances with freon @ 90 kg each | 60 | 59 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 72 |  |
| Newsprint/cardboard/paper/boxboard | 1,090 | 1,978 | 2,000 | 2,100 | 2,500 | 2,600 | (1) |
| Steel / tin / scrap metal | 450 | 448 | 475 | 500 | 510 | 520 |  |
| Batteries @ 9 kg each | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | (2) |
| Mixed recycling (plastics, glass, tin cans) |  | 175 | 180 | 185 | 190 | 195 |  |
| Tires @ 19 kg each | 116 | 124 | 125 | 128 | 129 | 130 |  |
| Tires Oversized @ 50 kg each | 20 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 29 |  |
| E-waste shipped |  | 0 | 34 | 36 | 38 | 40 |  |
| Vehicles received to be shipped | 200 | 202 | 200 | 205 | 210 | 215 |  |
| Propane tanks @ 7.7 kg each |  | 0 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Tree branches / organics |  | 176 | 180 | 185 | 190 | 195 |  |
| Additional recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hazardous waste | 15,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total of Material Diverted |  | 3,361 | 3,460 | 3,611 | 4,051 | 4,187 |  |
| Items reused on-site: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood waste reused for cover material (Metric Tonne) | 1,400 | 478 | 500 | 525 | 550 | 575 | (3) |
| Contaminated soil |  | 3,096 | 3,100 | 3,150 | 3,150 | 3,150 | (4) |
| Contaminated water |  | 103 | 105 | 110 | 110 | 110 | (5) |
| Asphalt |  | 346 | 600 | 500 | 500 | 500 | (6) |
| Clean fill |  | 222 | 250 | 275 | 275 | 275 |  |
| Total re-used |  | 4,245 | 4,555 | 4,560 | 4,585 | 4,610 |  |


| Solid Waste Performance Measures (cont'd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projected } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | Actual $2012$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projected } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | Forecasted 2014 | Forecasted 2015 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecasted } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ |
| Efficiency Measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waste collection cost per capita | \$16.29 | \$14.95 | \$15.12 | \$17.10 | \$17.50 | \$18.02 |
| Effectiveness Measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of materials reused on site |  | 17.9\% | 20.7\% | 20.9\% | 21.1\% | 21.4\% |
| \% of materials recycled/diverted (including vehicles) by weight |  | 14.2\% | 15.7\% | 16.5\% | 18.7\% | 19.4\% |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corrugated cardboard is the highest commodity per volume that we ship south to be recycled 2011: 653 MT, 2012: 659 MT (projected) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial customers are now responsible for recycling their own waste batteries. Residents are still permitted to drop off three car batteries a month. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood is now being used as cover material during winter months to aid in bird control. We are no longer chipping wood; we are using our dozer to crush on top of garbage. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After the contaminated soild is treated and tested, it is used as cover material. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After the contaminated water is treated and tested, it is placed back into the ground. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (6) Asphalt is used for making roadways and working pads in place of | ast rock or exp | fill. App | ately 10\% of | d asphalt is rec | cled and adde | new asphalt, |

